

RTCA, Inc.  
1150 18th Street NW, Suite 910  
Washington, DC 20036  
USA

# **Guidance for the Development of Portable Electronic Devices (PED) Tolerance for Civil Aircraft**

RTCA DO-363A  
June 23, 2022

Prepared by: SC-234  
© 2022 RTCA, Inc.

Copies of this document may be obtained from

RTCA, Inc.  
1150 18<sup>th</sup> Street, N.W., Suite 910  
Washington, DC 20036, U.S.A.

Telephone: 202-833-9339  
Facsimile: 202-833-9434  
Internet: [www.rtca.org](http://www.rtca.org)

Please visit the RTCA Online Store for document pricing and ordering information.

## **FOREWORD**

This document was prepared by RTCA Special Committee 234 (SC-234) and EUROCAE Working Group 99 (WG-99) and approved by the RTCA Program Management Committee (PMC) and the EUROCAE Council on June 23, 2022.

RTCA, Incorporated is a not-for-profit corporation formed to advance the art and science of aviation and aviation electronic systems for the benefit of the public. The organization functions as a Standards Development Organization and develops consensus-based recommendations on contemporary aviation issues. RTCA's objectives include but are not limited to:

- coalescing aviation system user and provider technical requirements in a manner that helps government and industry meet their mutual objectives and responsibilities;
- analyzing and recommending solutions to the system technical issues that aviation faces as it continues to pursue increased safety, system capacity and efficiency;
- developing consensus on the application of pertinent technology to fulfill user and provider requirements, including development of minimum operational performance standards for electronic systems and equipment that support aviation; and
- assisting in developing the appropriate technical material upon which positions for the International Civil Aviation Organization and the International Telecommunication Union and other appropriate international organizations can be based.

The organization's recommendations are often used as the basis for government and private sector decisions as well as the foundation for many Federal Aviation Administration Technical Standard Orders and advisory circulars.

Since RTCA is not an official agency of the United States Government, its recommendations may not be regarded as statements of official government policy unless so enunciated by the U.S. government organization or agency having statutory jurisdiction over any matters to which the recommendations relate.

## **DISCLAIMER**

This publication is based on material submitted by various participants during the SC approval process. Neither the SC nor RTCA has made any determination whether these materials could be subject to valid claims of patent, copyright or other proprietary rights by third parties, and no representation or warranty, expressed or implied is made in this regard. Any use of or reliance on this document shall constitute an acceptance thereof "as is" and be subject to this disclaimer.

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The United States Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) and the European Aviation Safety Agency (EASA) requested that RTCA, Inc. and EUROCAE form a special committee to present an up-to-date evaluation of the use of portable electronic devices (PEDs) on board civil aircraft with emphasis on intentional transmitters such as mobile phones, wireless RF network devices, and other wireless-enabled devices such as personal digital assistants (PDAs).

RTCA Special Committee 234 (SC-234) and EUROCAE Working Group 99 (WG-99) included representatives from consumer electronic, avionics manufacturers, aircraft manufacturers, airlines, aircraft operators, regulatory agencies, and related industry associations.

This report addresses the specific Terms of Reference to address aircraft design to tolerate operation of PEDs. Previous RTCA reports on aircraft interference from PEDs have emphasized risk assessments and then recommended restrictions on the use of PEDs on board the aircraft. This report departs from the earlier RTCA reports and is directed to aircraft design recommendations that lead to aircraft tolerance to both intentional RF transmissions and spurious RF emissions from PEDs.

There are two aspects to the aircraft design recommendations in this report. One aspect defines aircraft system and equipment RF susceptibility qualification recommendations that provide tolerance to RF from intentionally transmitting PEDs. This is commonly referred to as protection from PED back door coupling. The recommendations closely follow existing practices for aircraft system high intensity radiated field (HIRF) protection. Acceptable test approaches for verifying the aircraft system RF susceptibility qualification are defined.

The second aspect defines acceptable interference path loss (IPL) between aircraft radio receivers and PEDs that emit spurious RF. This is commonly referred to as protection from PED front door coupling. Extensive analysis of measured PED spurious emissions was performed previously so that the interference path loss targets are based on statistics of actual PED emissions rather than regulatory specifications. Interference path loss test methods are defined.

This report also defines recommended approaches for demonstrating tolerance with aircraft design to meet regulatory requirements including aspects of aircraft alteration and continued airworthiness.

This report is intended to supersede previous applicable guideline documents RTCA DO-294 and EUROCAE ED-130. All propositions defined are intended to be in line with the Revision of RTCA DO-307B/EUROCAE ED-239A.

The update to RTCA DO-363A and EUROCAE ED-130B addresses the spectrum expansion of the 5G technology and the introduction of PED transmitting in the frequency spectrum above 5.9 GHz, for example WiFi-6e. This has led to:

- A redefinition of transmitter technologies that are classified as low-power technologies and
- A requirement to address the potential for front-door interference to radio altimeter, including 5G, that is addressed in DO-307B/ED-239A.

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION .....	1
1.1	Introduction.....	1
1.2	Scope.....	3
1.3	Summary of Changes.....	4
1.4	Definition and Abbreviation .....	4
2	BACKGROUND .....	9
3	AIRCRAFT PED TOLERANCE ASPECTS.....	11
3.1	Introduction.....	11
3.2	Regulatory Requirements.....	14
3.3	PED Operational Guidance.....	15
3.4	PED Tolerance Routes .....	15
3.5	Front Door Coupling Requirement .....	18
3.6	Back Door Coupling Requirements .....	18
3.6.1	HIRF Tolerant Aircraft .....	21
3.7	Tracking Devices .....	24
3.8	Certification Applicant Supporting Operators .....	25
3.8.1	Supporting Documentation .....	25
4	AIRCRAFT SAFETY ASSESSMENT .....	29
4.1	Functional Hazard Assessment .....	29
4.2	Safety Management System (SMS) Approach .....	31
4.2.1	Likelihood Assessment .....	31
4.2.2	Risk Acceptance.....	32
4.2.3	Decision Making.....	32
4.2.4	Suggested Method.....	33
4.3	Systems and Equipment To Meet Front & Back Door Coupling .....	34
4.4	Conclusion .....	34
5	<b>FRONT DOOR COUPLING DEMONSTRATION .....</b>	<b>35</b>
5.1	Purpose.....	35
5.2	Overview.....	35
5.3	Applicability and Scope.....	35
5.4	Approach to Front Door Tolerance.....	36
5.4.1	Changes to Aircraft that Affect IPL.....	38
5.4.2	System Safety.....	40
5.4.3	Mitigation and Analysis of IPL Data .....	40
5.4.4	Similarity of IPL Measurements to Other Aircraft .....	41
5.5	Supporting Documentation .....	42
5.6	RTCA DO-307()/ED-239() IPL Test Method Lessons Learned.....	42
6	BACKDOOR COUPLING DEMONSTRATION.....	45
6.1	Introduction.....	45
6.1.1	Backdoor Coupling Assessment Requirements .....	46
6.1.2	High Level Process Summary.....	47

6.2	Backdoor Coupling Assessment Process .....	49
6.2.1	Perform Aircraft Risk Assessment.....	52
6.2.2	Perform Technologies and Frequency Band Risk Evaluation .....	52
6.2.3	Identify Systems and Equipment Requiring Evaluation .....	53
6.2.4	Perform Aircraft Safety Assessment.....	54
6.2.5	Analyze Existing Data .....	55
6.2.6	Prepare and Conduct A/C Testing .....	57
6.2.7	Perform Final Risk Assessment .....	58
6.3	Methods for Aircraft Testing .....	60
6.3.1	Technology-Specific Test Point Determination.....	62
6.3.2	PED Tolerance Test Signal Definition.....	62
6.3.3	Systems under Test .....	63
6.3.4	Test Locations.....	64
6.3.5	Zonal Test .....	64
6.3.6	Local Test.....	64
6.3.7	Test Equipment .....	65
6.3.8	Regulatory Aspects .....	66
6.3.9	Test Execution .....	66
6.3.10	Zonal Test .....	67
6.3.11	Local Test.....	67
6.3.12	Test Documentation.....	67
6.3.13	Post-Test .....	69
6.4	Deliverables .....	71
7	SUSTAINING AIRCRAFT PED TOLERANCE.....	73
7.1	Introduction.....	73
7.1.1	Background and Challenges.....	74
7.1.2	Sustaining Aircraft PED Tolerance.....	74
7.1.3	Factors on PED Tolerance .....	74
7.1.4	PED Tolerance and Continued Airworthiness .....	75
7.1.5	Impact on Configuration Management .....	75
7.2	Alteration Considerations .....	76
7.2.1	Additional Alteration Considerations .....	76
7.3	General Maintenance .....	76
7.3.1	Maintaining Front Door Coupling PED Tolerance - Evaluating Maintenance Actions .....	77
7.3.2	Maintaining Back Door Coupling PED Tolerance - Evaluating Maintenance Actions.....	79
7.4	Configuration Management .....	83
7.4.1	Experience and Best Practices .....	84
7.4.2	Industry Demands .....	85
7.4.3	Range of PED Tolerance Analysis.....	85
7.4.4	Affected Aircraft Configuration.....	86
7.4.5	Guidance for Configuration Management for Sustained PED Tolerance.....	86
7.4.6	Guidance for Configuration Management Documentation.....	88
7.5	Operational Considerations.....	89
7.5.1	Non-Technical Operations Aspects .....	90
7.5.2	Continuing Consideration of Non-Technical Operations Aspects.....	90
7.5.3	Technical Operations Aspects.....	90
7.5.4	Instructions for Continued Airworthiness – Necessitated for PED Tolerance.....	91
7.5.5	Safety Program Data Collection and Reporting- Necessitated for PED Tolerance .....	91
8	MEMBERSHIP.....	93
	APPENDIX A – SMS EXAMPLES.....	A-1

A.1	Radio System Analysis – Front Door.....	A-1
A.1.1	ADF, HF and HF Datalink.....	A-1
A.1.2	Marker Beacon.....	A-1
A.1.3	Instrument Landing Systems (ILS).....	A-1
A.1.4	VHF Omni-range (VOR).....	A-3
A.1.5	VHF Comm (including Voice and VDL Modes, 2 and 3).....	A-5
A.1.6	Distance Measuring Equipment (DME).....	A-6
A.1.7	Transponder Systems.....	A-8
A.1.8	Traffic Alert and Collision Avoidance System (TCAS) Interrogator Receiver.....	A-10
A.1.9	Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS).....	A-11
A.1.10	AMS(R)S SATCOM.....	A-12
A.1.11	Radio Altimeter and Weather Radar.....	A-13
A.1.12	Microwave Landing System (MLS).....	A-13
APPENDIX B - 2X.1309.....		B-1
B.1	Failure Condition Classifications.....	B-1
APPENDIX C - SUMMARY OF WHEN PEDS CAN BE USED.....		C-1
C.1	FAA Operator Guidance.....	C-1
C.1.1	Back Door Interference Assessment Summary.....	C-1
C.1.2	Front Door Interference Assessment Summary.....	C-3
C.1.3	Determine Aircraft HIRF Applicability.....	C-4
C.1.4	Analysis and Mitigation.....	C-6
C.2	EASA Operator Guidance.....	C-8
APPENDIX D – ADDITIONAL OEM DOCUMENTATION.....		D-1
D.1	PED Tolerance Statement Letter To Operator - Template.....	D-1
D.2	PED Tolerance Statement Letter To Operator - Example.....	D-3
APPENDIX E – ASSESSMENT OF THE FIELD STRENGTH GENERATED BY INTENTIONAL TRANSMITTERS.....		E-1
E.1	EMI Characterization of Radio Communication Standards.....	E-2
E.2	Wireless Communication Standards.....	E-3
E.2.1	Pulse and Amplitude Modulated Standards.....	E-3
E.2.2	FDMA/CDMA and other CW-like Standards.....	E-9
E.2.3	Conclusion on Test Signal Waveforms.....	E-15
E.2.4	Field Strength Levels and Power Levels.....	E-15
E.3	Power Level and Field Strength Envelopes For Equipment Qualification and/or Aircraft Testing.....	E-25
E.4	Frequency stepping.....	E-25
E.5	Conclusion.....	E-26
APPENDIX F - PREPARING AIRCRAFT AGAINST THE INTENTIONAL RADIO EMISSION OF PEDS.....		F-1
APPENDIX G - EXEMPLARY PROCEDURE FOR FUNCTIONAL AIRCRAFT.....		G-1
APPENDIX H – INDEX OF DEFINITIONS.....		H-1

APPENDIX I - INDEX OF REFERENCES.....	I-1
APPENDIX J - DO-363A/ED-130B IMPROVEMENT SUGGESTION FORM.....	J-1

**TABLE OF TABLES**

Table 1-1: Appendix Cross Reference.....	3
Table 3-1: FAA PED Regulations and Guidance.....	14
Table 3-2: EASA PED Regulations and Guidance.....	14
Table 3-3: Back Door Demonstration Methods.....	19
Table 3-4: Example of Equipment/System Additions for PED Tolerant Aircraft.....	20
Table 3-5: FAA Amendment Levels.....	21
Table 3-6: EASA CS Amendment Levels.....	21
Table 6-1: Back-Door Coupling Paths.....	45
Table 6-2: Detailed Explanations to Figure 6-1.....	48
Table 6-3: Low-Risk Technology Conditions.....	53
Table 6-4: Example Output from Aircraft Safety Assessment.....	55
Table 6-5: Frequency Ranges For Full PED Tolerant Aircraft.....	62
Table 6-6: CW Test Signal Power for Full PED Tolerant Aircraft.....	63
Table 6-7: Pulse Test Signal Power for Full PED Tolerant Aircraft.....	63
Table 6-8: Example RF Exposure Limits.....	68
Table 7-1: Detailed Explanations to Figure 7-2.....	78
Table 7-2: Detailed Explanations to Figure 7-3.....	80
Table A-1: Front Door Risk Assessment.....	A-15
Table E-1: Evaluated Wireless Communication Standards.....	E-1
Table E-2: Pulse, Amplitude Modulated Standards.....	E-5
Table E-3: Radiated Power and Resulting Waveforms for Pulse, Amp, Modulated Standards.....	E-6
Table E-4: Radiated Power and Resulting Waveforms for Pulse, Amp. Modulated Standards (Continuation) CSMA/CA (Pulse Modulated) Data Communication Standards.....	E-7
Table E-6: FDMA/CDMA (CW-Like) Standards.....	E-11
Table E-7: Radiated Power and Waveforms for FDMA/CDMA (CW-Like) Standard.....	E-12
Table E-8: Radiated Power and Waveforms For FDMA/CDMA (CW-Like) Standard (Continuation).....	E-13
Table E-8: Frequency Ranges For Full PED Tolerant Aircraft.....	E-25
Table E-9: CW Test Signal Power For Full PED Tolerant Aircraft.....	E-25
Table E-10: Pulse Test Signal Power For Full PED Tolerant Aircraft.....	E-25
Table F-1: According to Number of Sources and Minimal Distance <i>d</i> Between Them, 12dB Is Covering All Scenarios.....	F-2

**TABLE OF FIGURES**

Figure 1-1: Document Overview.....	2
Figure 3-1: Section Overview.....	13
Figure 3-2: General Overview Of Front Door PED Tolerance.....	16
Figure 3-3: General Overview Of Back Door PED Tolernace.....	17
Figure 3-4: Example Of FAA TCDS Showing Initial TC Date.....	23
Figure 3-5: Example Of FAA Partial Tolerant Aircraft.....	23
Figure 3-6: Example Of FAA SC Tolerant Aircraft.....	23
Figure 5-1: Establishing Front Door Tolerance.....	37

Figure 6-1: Process Overview for Aircraft Backdoor Compatibility Assessment.....	47
Figure 6-2: Backdoor Coupling Assessment Flow Chart .....	51
Figure 6-3: Final Risk Assessment Process .....	58
Figure 6-4: Aircraft Backdoor Test Options.....	61
Figure 6-5: Example for Test Setup.....	66
Figure 7-1: High Level Flow Chart Overview of Section 7 .....	73
Figure 7-2: Maintaining Front Door Coupling PED Tolerance.....	77
Figure 7-3: Maintaining Back Door Coupling PED Tolerance .....	80
Figure A-1: Flight Phases .....	A-14
Figure E-1: Test Waveform for Standards Using TDMA or CSMA/FHSS Schemes.....	E-9
Figure E-2: CW-Waveform For Standards Providing No Pulse Modulation.....	E-14
Figure E-3: Field Strength Levels Onto EUT For Worst-Case Scenario For Pulse- Modulated T-PED Signals Including 6dB Margin .....	E-17
Figure E-4:Field Strength Levels Onto EUT For CW-Like T-PED Signals Including 6dB Margin.....	E-18
Figure E-5: Power Levels At A 0.1 M Distance Between Test Antenna And EUT For Pulse Modulated (TDMA) T-PED Signals Including 6dB Margin .....	E-19
Figure E-6:Power Levels At A 0.1 M Distance Between Test Antenna And EUT For CW-Like (FDMA) T-PED Signals Including 6dB Margin .....	E-20
Figure E-7: Derived Radiated Field Strength Levels For Pulse-Modulated (TDMA) T- PED Signals Including 6dB Margins For Laboratory Equipment Qualification .....	E-21
Figure E-8:Derived Radiated Field Strength For CW-Like (FDMA) T-PED Signals Including 6dB Margins For Laboratory Equipment Qualification .....	E-22
Figure E-9: Derived Radiated Power Levels For 0.1 M Distance Between Test Antenna And EUT For Pulse-Modulated (TDMA) T-PED Signals Including 6dB Margins For Laboratory Equipment Qualification .....	E-23
Figure E-10: Derived Radiated Power Levels For 0.1 M Distance Between Test Antenna And EUT For CW-Like (FDMA) T-PED Signals Including 6dB Margins For Laboratory Equipment Qualification.....	E-24

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

# **1 INTRODUCTION**

## **1.1 Introduction**

The PED ARC (Aviation Rulemaking Committee) established in 2013 made recommendations to clarify and provide guidance on allowing PEDs usage from gate to gate without compromising the continued safe operation of the aircraft. This led to the creation of the FAA operation guidance, see Appendix C. On EASA side, specific acceptable means of tolerance and guidance material to allow PED operation gate-to-gate can be found in Table 3-2. The guidance contained in these FAA and EASA references are only intended for operational approval, they do not cover methods acceptable for aircraft certification.

This report includes some of the ARC's recommendations for allowing additional PED usage on airplanes as well as expanding on the operational guidance. It also provides additional methods for demonstrating PED tolerance. This document supplements existing guidance provided in RTCA DO-307 (and later revisions)/EUROCAE ED-239 (and later revisions), updates the previous ED-130 and supersedes DO-294. An overview of the document is provided in Figure 1-1.