

NEMA MS 5-2018

Standard for Determination of Slice Thickness in Diagnostic Magnetic Resonance Imaging



NEMA Standards Publication MS 5-2018

*Determination of Slice Thickness in
Diagnostic Magnetic Resonance Imaging*

Published by:

National Electrical Manufacturers Association

1300 North 17th Street, Suite 900

Rosslyn, Virginia 22209

www.nema.org

© 2018 National Electrical Manufacturers Association. All rights, including translation into other languages, reserved under the Universal Copyright Convention, the Berne Convention for the Protection of Literary and Artistic Works, and the International and Pan American Copyright Conventions.

NOTICE AND DISCLAIMER

The information in this publication was considered technically sound by the consensus of persons engaged in the development and approval of the document at the time it was developed. Consensus does not necessarily mean that there is unanimous agreement among every person participating in the development of this document.

NEMA standards and guideline publications, of which the document contained herein is one, are developed through a voluntary consensus standards development process. This process brings together volunteers and/or seeks out the views of persons who have an interest in the topic covered by this publication. While NEMA administers the process and establishes rules to promote fairness in the development of consensus, it does not write the document and it does not independently test, evaluate, or verify the accuracy or completeness of any information or the soundness of any judgments contained in its standards and guideline publications.

NEMA disclaims liability for any personal injury, property, or other damages of any nature whatsoever, whether special, indirect, consequential, or compensatory, directly or indirectly resulting from the publication, use of, application, or reliance on this document. NEMA disclaims and makes no guaranty or warranty, express or implied, as to the accuracy or completeness of any information published herein, and disclaims and makes no warranty that the information in this document will fulfill any of your particular purposes or needs. NEMA does not undertake to guarantee the performance of any individual manufacturer or seller's products or services by virtue of this standard or guide.

In publishing and making this document available, NEMA is not undertaking to render professional or other services for or on behalf of any person or entity, nor is NEMA undertaking to perform any duty owed by any person or entity to someone else. Anyone using this document should rely on his or her own independent judgment or, as appropriate, seek the advice of a competent professional in determining the exercise of reasonable care in any given circumstances. Information and other standards on the topic covered by this publication may be available from other sources, which the user may wish to consult for additional views or information not covered by this publication.

NEMA has no power, nor does it undertake to police or enforce compliance with the contents of this document. NEMA does not certify, test, or inspect products, designs, or installations for safety or health purposes. Any certification or other statement of compliance with any health or safety-related information in this document shall not be attributable to NEMA and is solely the responsibility of the certifier or maker of the statement.

CONTENTS

Preamble	ii
Foreword.....	iii
Rationale.....	iv
Section 1 Definitions	
1.1 Scope.....	1
1.2 Definitions	
1.2.1 Contiguous Slices.....	1
1.2.3 Edge Response Function (ERF)	1
1.2.4 Intrinsic Pixel Size	1
1.2.5 Slice Coordinate	1
1.2.6 Slice Profile.....	1
1.2.7 Slice Thickness.....	1
1.2.8 Specification Volume	1
Section 2 Methods of Measurement	
2.1 Scan Conditions	2
2.2 Wedge Method of Measurement	2
2.2.1 Phantom Description	2
2.2.2 Measurement Procedure	3
2.3 Slab Method of Measurement	5
2.3.1 Phantom Description	5
2.3.2 Measurement Procedure	6
Section 3 Improving the Accuracy of Results	8
Section 4 Correcting for Rotational Errors	9
Section 5 Sources of Error and Reporting of Results	
5.1 Sources of Error	11
5.2 Reporting of Results	11
Annex A Changes to Standard	12
Figures	
2-1 Crossed Wedge Phantom	2
2-2 Diagram of Referenced Planes	4
2-3 Schematic Overview of the Wedge Method	5
2-4 Crossed Slab Phantom	6
2-5 Schematic Overview of the Slab Method	7
4-1 Angle Definitions for Rotational Correction.....	9

Preamble

This standard is one in a series of test standards developed by the medical diagnostic imaging industry for the measurement of performance parameters governing image quality of magnetic resonance (MR) imaging (MRI) systems. These test standards are intended for the use of equipment manufacturers, prospective purchasers, and users alike.

Manufacturers are permitted to use these standards for the determination of system performance specifications. This standardization of performance specifications is of benefit to the prospective equipment purchaser, and the parameters supplied with each NEMA measurement serve as a guide to those factors that can influence the measurement. These standards can also serve as reference procedures for acceptance testing and periodic quality assurance.

It must be recognized, however, that not all test standards lend themselves to measurement at the installation site. Some test standards require instrumentation better suited to factory measurements, while others require the facilities of an instrumentation laboratory to ensure stable test conditions necessary for reliable measurements.

The NEMA test procedures are carried out using the normal clinical operating mode of the system. For example, standard calibration procedures, standard clinical sequences, and standard reconstruction processes shall be used. No modifications to alter test results shall be used unless otherwise specified in these standards.

The NEMA Magnetic Resonance Section has identified a set of key magnetic resonance image quality parameters. This standards publication describes the measurement of one of these parameters.

Equivalence

It is intended and expected that manufacturers or others who claim compliance with these NEMA standard test procedures for the determination of image quality parameters shall have carried out the tests in accordance with the procedures specified in the published standards.

In those cases where it is impossible or impractical to follow the literal prescription of a NEMA test procedure, a complete description of any deviation from the published procedure must be included with any measurement claimed equivalent to the NEMA standard. The validity or equivalence of the modified procedure will be determined by the reader.

Uncertainty of the Measurements

The measurement uncertainty of the image quality parameter determined using this standards publication is to be reported, together with the value of the parameter. The justification for the claimed uncertainty limits shall also be provided by a listing and discussion of sources and magnitudes of error.

Foreword

This standards publication is classified as a NEMA standard unless otherwise noted. It describes two methods for determining slice thickness in diagnostic magnetic resonance imaging. The methods presented are essentially numerical in character and, consequently, will require the preparation and use of supplementary dedicated computer software to perform the computations.

The methods are based upon the determination of the slice profile, from which the slice thickness is obtained as the full width at half maximum (FWHM). The slice profile is obtained either by direct measurement with a thin inclined slab of signal-producing material or by numerical differentiation of the measured edge response function (ERF) from an inclined surface of a wedge immersed in signal-producing material. A correction technique is provided to compensate for errors caused by tilt of the phantom.

With the inclined slab approach, better signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) can be realized through the use of direct measurement¹. However, the extremely thin slabs required for measurement of very thin slices are not practical to fabricate. Differentiation of the ERF degrades the SNR that is obtained for the slice profile and usually requires the averaging of several measurements but does permit measurement of thinner slices since fabrication is not limiting.

Slices of any thickness, which can provide adequate signal, may be evaluated with the wedge procedure; the slab method is suitable for thicker slices.

This standards publication is intended for use by MRI system manufacturers, manufacturers of accessory equipment (including special purpose radio-frequency coils), and MRI end users.

This standards publication has been developed by the Magnetic Resonance Section of the National Electrical Manufacturers Association. User needs have been considered throughout the development of this publication. Proposed or recommended revisions should be submitted to:

Executive Director, Medical Imaging & Technology Alliance
National Electrical Manufacturers Association
1300 North 17th Street, Suite 900
Rosslyn, VA 22209

Section approval of the standard does not necessarily imply that all section members voted for its approval or participated in its development. At the time it was approved, the section was composed of the following members:

Computer Imaging Reference Systems—Norfolk, VA
GE Healthcare, Inc.—Milwaukee, WI
Hitachi Medical Systems America, Inc.—Twinsburg, OH
Invivo—Gainesville, FL
Medipattern Corp.—Toronto, Ontario

Medtronic Navigation – Yokneam, Israel
Philips Healthcare—Bothell, WA
Siemens Healthcare, Inc.—Malvern, PA

Toshiba America Medical Systems—Tustin, CA
AllTech Medical Systems America—Solon, OH

¹ For additional information, see *MS 1, Determination of Signal-to-Noise Ratio (SNR) in Diagnostic Magnetic Resonance Imaging*.

Rationale

Slice thickness is measured along the dimension perpendicular to the slice plane and is a measure of the thickness of the anatomy projected onto the plane of the image. Since slice thickness depends on the radiofrequency (RF) pulse shape and sequencing, transmit gain, RF field homogeneity, the selection gradient, and other parameters, the slice thickness is a significant measure of the proper adjustment of a diagnostic magnetic resonance imaging system and its image quality.

Section 1

1.1 Scope

This standards publication provides a method for determining the slice thickness of proton images. Both the typical and the typically thinnest slices in routine clinical use for a particular system are determined at one location within the specification volume and only one of the three orthogonal orientations (transverse, sagittal, or coronal). Imaging types and conditions not addressed by this standard include spectroscopy, chemical shift imaging, and warped slices.

1.2 Definitions

1.2.1 Baseline Pixel Offset Value

The baseline pixel offset value is the pixel value for a particular MR system that represents a noise-free signal level of zero.

1.2.2 Contiguous Slices

Contiguous slices are adjacent slices for which the separation of consecutive profile centers is equal to one FWHM.

1.2.3 Edge Response Function (ERF)

The edge response function is the integral of the slice profile versus z , from a fixed initial value of z to a variable ending value of z .

1.2.4 Intrinsic Pixel Size

For this standard, the “intrinsic” pixel size is defined as the image domain distance d (in meters) such that $1/d$ (in meters⁻¹) is the span of the measured data in the Fourier domain.

1.2.5 Slice Coordinate

The slice coordinate, denoted by z , is the dimension perpendicular to the slice plane.

1.2.6 Slice Profile

The slice profile is conceptually a plot of magnetic resonance (MR) signal intensity perpendicular to the slice plane arising from a uniform sample that is larger than the z dimension to be analyzed.

1.2.7 Slice Thickness

The slice thickness is the full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the slice profile.

1.2.8 Specification Volume

The specification volume is the imaging volume within which a manufacturer guarantees image performance specifications. Images or portions of images outside this volume may not necessarily meet performance specifications, but may still be useful for diagnostic purposes. For head scans, the specification volume must enclose, as a minimum, a 10-cm diameter spherical volume (dsv) centered in the RF head coil. For body scans, the specification volume must enclose, as a minimum, a 20-cm dsv centered in the RF body coil.